

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,584	12/28/2001	Samuel Kho	PALM.P881	2323
30554 7590 68627,2009 MAHAMEDI PARADICE KREISMAN LLP 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA 95129-1034			EXAMINER	
			PITARO, RYAN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/040.584 KHO, SAMUEL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit RYAN F PITARO 2174 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) RYAN F. PITARO. (2) Van Mahamedi. (4)____. Date of Interview: 15 August 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim amendments that better suit the Applicants that may be filed in a 312 Amendment. Claims were presented to allow for multi-directional input mechanism that includes one or more button features, protruding pads, or protruding members. These claims were constructed in a way to include navigational features that include, joypad, joystick, buttons but to exclude mice, trackballs, touch pads and such, (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ryan F Pitaro/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174